## **CSCI 5333.3 DBMS**

## Fall 2021

## **Suggested Solution to Final Examination**

(1) For example, minimally documented:

```
if (array key exists('filmid1', $ GET)) {
       $filmId 1 = $ GET['filmid1'];
   if (array key exists('filmid2', $ GET)) {
       $filmId 2 = $ GET['filmid2'];
    }
    // Get film title and rating
    $query = <<<__QUERY</pre>
SELECT DISTINCT rl.customer id
FROM rental AS r1 INNER JOIN inventory AS i1 ON (r1.inventory id =
i1.inventory id)
     INNER JOIN rental AS r2 ON (r1.customer id = r2.customer id)
     INNER JOIN inventory AS i2 ON (r2.inventory id = i2.inventory id)
WHERE i1.film id = ?
AND i2.film id = ?;
QUERY;
if ($stmt = $mysqli->prepare($query)) {
   $stmt->bind_param('ss', $filmId_1, $filmId_2);
    $stmt->execute();
    $stmt->bind result($customerId);
    $stmt->store result();
   if (\$stmt->num\ rows > 0) {
       echo "The two films (id: $filmId 1 and $filmId 2)";
       echo " have both been rented by the following customers
(id) \n\n\n";
       while ($stmt->fetch()) {
           echo "$customerId\n";
       echo "";
     }
     else {
       echo "The two films (id: $filmId 1 and $filmId 2)";
       echo " have not been both rented by any customer.\n\n\n";
   $stmt->free result();
}
$mysqli->close();
```

```
(a)
      For example:
DROP VIEW f21t2;
CREATE VIEW f21t2 AS
SELECT DISTINCT fa.actor id,
    COUNT(DISTINCT fa.film_id) as filmCount,
    COUNT(*) as copyCount
FROM film actor AS fa INNER JOIN inventory AS i ON (fa.film id = i.film id )
GROUP BY fa.actor id;
(b)
      For example:
SELECT DISTINCT *
FROM f21t2
WHERE filmCount = (SELECT MIN(filmCount) FROM f21t2)
ORDER BY filmCount ASC;
(c)
      For example:
DROP FUNCTION f21f1;
DELIMITER //
CREATE FUNCTION f21f1(categoryId TINYINT UNSIGNED) RETURNS INT
BEGIN
   DECLARE result INT DEFAULT 0;
   SELECT COUNT(*) INTO result
   FROM film_category fc INNER JOIN inventory i
            ON (fc.film id = i.film id)
   WHERE fc.category id = categoryId;
  RETURN result:
END //
DELIMITER ;
SELECT f21f1(2);
(3)
      To show F = \{A->B, D->E. AB->C, AC->D\} implies A->E
Proof: For example:
[1] A->B (given)
[2] AB->C (given)
```

[3] A->C (pseudo-transitivity on [1] and [2], and simplification)

[4] AC->D (given)

[5] A->D (pseudo-transitivity on [3] and [4], and simplification)

[6] D->E (given)

[7] A-> E (transitivity on [5] and [6])

QED.

(4) Yes, the decomposition is lossless

Given {A->C, CD->B, BC->AD, E->B} and R is decomposed into R1(A,B,C), R2(C,D,E) and R3(B,E).

You can use the algorithm for checking for lossless decomposition below.

Step 1. Create a table of 5 columns (number of columns and 3 rows (number of relations). Populate it with b(i,j).

Relation	А	В	С	D	E
R1	b(1,1)	b(1,2)	b(1,3)	b(1,4)	b(1,5)
R2	b(2,1)	b(2,2)	b(2,3)	b(2,4)	b(2,5)
R3	b(3,1)	b(3,2)	b(3,3)	b(3,4)	b(3,5)

Step 2. For each relation Ri, set all attribute Aj that appears in Ri from b(i,j) to a(j).

Relation	Α	В	С	D	E
R1	a(1)	a(2)	a(3)	b(1,4)	b(1,5)
R2	b(2,1)	b(2,2)	a(3)	a(4)	a(5)
R3	b(3,1)	a(2)	b(3,3)	b(3,4)	a(5)

Step 3. For each FD X-> Y, if two rows have the common X values, for every attribute W in Y:

• If one cell is an a and the other cell is an b, change the b to the a.

• If both cells are b's, change them to the same b.

Applying A->C: no change.

Applying CD->B: no change.

Applying BC->AD: no change.

## Applying E->B

Relation	Α	В	С	D	E
R1	a(1)	a(2)	a(3)	b(1,4)	b(1,5)
R2	b(2,1)	a(2)	a(3)	a(4)	a(5)

R3	b(3,1)	a(2)	b(3,3)	b(3,4)	a(5)
----	--------	------	--------	--------	------

Applying A->C: no change.

Applying CD->B: no change.

Applying BC->AD:

Relation	Α	В	С	D	E
R1	a(1)	a(2)	a(3)	a(4)	b(1,5)
R2	a(1)	a(2)	a(3)	a(4)	a(5)
R3	b(3,1)	a(2)	b(3,3)	b(3,4)	a(5)

Since the second row is now composed of only a's, the algorithm stops and pronounces that the decomposition is lossless.

(5)

(6) {B->A, AB->C, CD->A, D->B, BC->E, E->FA}

(a) 
$$A+ = A$$
,  $B+ = ABCEF$ ,  $C+ = C$ ,  $D+ = ABCDEF$ ,  $E+=AEF$ ,  $F+ =F$ 

(b) The candidate key is D. Prime: D. Non-prime: ABCEF.

(c) For example: {B->CE, D->B, E->AF}

(d) 2NF. The FD B->C violates 3NF as B is not a superky and C is non-prime.

(e) Yes, the following decomposition satisfies all requirements:

R1(B,C,E) {B->CE} R2(B,D) {D->B} R3(A,E,F) {E->AF}